



STAMFORD, CT 069012682

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/471,429	12/23/1999	DONALD E. WALLAR II	ST9-99-070	7384	
7590 02/14/2005			EXAMINER		
DAVID N KOFFSKY ESQ			YUAN, ALMARI ROMERO		
OHLANDT GR	EELEY RUGGIERO & P	ERLE LLP			
ONE LAND MARK SQUARE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
9TH FLOOR	•		2176		

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

ij

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/471,429	WALLAR II, DONALD E.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Almari Yuan	2176		

before the filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Almari Yuan	2176					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
• •	THE REPLY FILED <u>27 December 2004</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN</li> </ol>							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			Codusc				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
non-allowable claim(s).	<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 10-24</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13.  Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
ADOSOU EEIVO							

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No. 09/471,429

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant proposes amending independent claims 1, 10, 15, 20, 21, and 23 to introduces the limitations "wherein said message formats further includes a first formatted display and wherein further presents a second message composition area"; "wherein said unformatted message is a first unformatted message, said message composition area further includes a formatted display area"; "in response to an entry of a second unformatted message into said second message composition area, converting said first unformatted message to form a first formatted message with format tags of said one of said output formats and second unformatted message to form a second formatted message with format tags of said one of said output formats; and "presenting said first and second formatted messages as a concatenated complete message for display in said formatted message display area". The incorporation of claims 3, 5, 12, 14, 17, and 19 into independent claims 1, 10, 15, 20, 21, and 23 would yield combination of claim elements not previously considered. Further search and/or consideration is required.

Further, Applicant's arguments regarding the art rejections of all claims have been carefully considered. The Office believes that the Office Action mailed 10/21/04 was fully responsive to applicant's arguments, and maintains the rejections set forth in that Office Action.